



Star formation and metal enrichment in a high-redshift cluster

Sesto, January 12th





How do galaxies affect the surrounding environment?

F. Valentino, E. Daddi, A. Finoguenov, A. Zanella, D. Elbaz, et al. (submitted to ApJ)

Sesto, January 12th

- Galaxy activity (star formation, AGN) rises with redshift
- Higher activity = stronger feedback > ubiquitous outflows
- Outflows extract energy from galaxies and inject it into the surrounding medium: necessary to explain X-ray properties of groups and clusters

Galaxy activity rises with redshift



At z=1.5-2 galaxies:

- form stars at a rate 15-20× higher than in the local Universe (Sargent+2014, Schreiber+2015)
- their black hole activity increases accordingly (Mullaney+2012)
- their metal content drops (Erb+2006).

Gas regulation is the key

Galaxy activity rises with redshift



Higher gas fractions explain the rise in galaxy activity (i.e., Daddi+2010, with a moderate increase of star formation efficiencies).

Cosmological simulations show constant gas feeding from the cosmic web through dense **cold flows** (Dekel +2009).

Ubiquitous outflows



Ubiquitous outflows



Mass loading factor: $\eta = M_{out}/SFR \ge 1$

Energy injection into ICM



Galaxies are thought to **inject this energy** through outflows (i.e., Kaiser 1991, Ponman+1991, Valageas & Silk 1999, Tozzi & Norman 2001)

Ubiquitous outflows

Galaxies are thought to inject this energy through outflows (i.e., Kaiser 1991, Ponman+1991, Valageas & Silk 1999, Tozzi & Norman 2001)

How much energy is supplied? When does this occur? For how long?

At what cluster evolutionary stage? What is the fraction from AGN or SNe?

- Galaxy activity (star formation, AGN) rises with redshift
- Higher activity = stronger feedback > ubiquitous outflows
- Outflows extract energy from galaxies and inject it into the surrounding medium: necessary to explain X-ray properties of groups and clusters

- Galaxy activity (star formation, AGN) rises with redshift
- Higher activity = stronger feedback > ubiquitous outflows
- Outflows extract energy from galaxies and inject it into the surrounding medium: necessary to explain X-ray properties of groups and clusters
- A 'mature' cluster at z = 1.99 is observed with XMM and Chandra: we see a 10⁷ K, X-ray emitting extended plasma

X-ray cluster at z = 1.99

- CL J1149+0856 at z =1.99 is among the most distant clusters known to date, the most distant X-ray detected (Gobat +2011).
- Massive, red, quiescent members in its core (Strazzullo +2013, Gobat+2013)
- Yet, hosting a significant activity (two X-ray AGN, several SFGs, including the proto-BCG, Valentino+2015a)



X-ray cluster at z = 1.99

New Chandra 100ks: $L(x) = (9 \pm 3)x10^{43} \text{ erg s}^{-1} M_{halo} = (5 - 7)x10^{13} M_{\odot}$



- Galaxy activity (star formation, AGN) rises with redshift
- Higher activity = stronger feedback > ubiquitous outflows
- Outflows extract energy from galaxies and inject it into the surrounding medium: necessary to explain X-ray properties of groups and clusters
- A 'mature' cluster at z = 1.99 is observed with XMM and Chandra: we see a 10⁷ K, X-ray emitting extended plasma
- Narrow-band imaging with Keck/LRIS to follow-up Lyα emitters/ absorbers

Lya narrow-band follow-up









Cold 10⁴ K plasma

Hot 10⁷ K plasma

- Galaxy activity (star formation, AGN) rises with redshift
- Higher activity = stronger feedback > ubiquitous outflows
- Outflows extract energy from galaxies and inject it into the surrounding medium: necessary to explain X-ray properties of groups and clusters
- A 'mature' cluster at z = 1.99 is observed with XMM and Chandra: we see a 10⁷ K, X-ray emitting extended plasma
- Narrow-band imaging with Keck/LRIS reveals a 100-kpc extended Lya nebula (10⁴ K) in the cluster core
- Hot gas heats up cold gas: a ≥1000 M_☉ yr⁻¹ replenishment is needed to see the nebula



Luminosity = $(2.3\pm0.2)\times10^{43}$ erg s⁻¹ Radius ≈ 46 kpc Mass = $(0.01 - 3.56) \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ Electron density = 0.02 - 9 cm⁻³

Uncertainties from the volume filling factor $f = 10^{-5} - 1$

Powering mechanism: X SFR (EW = (271 ± 88) Å, size) X Cooling from X-ray (L(X)/L(Lyα) = 3, >100x less than observed locally) X Cosmological cold flows √ AGN



Time evolution: Cooling time < 1 Myr Free-fall time ≈ few 10 Myr **Evaporation time ≤ 100 Myr**

Requires constant replenishment: M_{repl} = M(Lyα) / t(evaporation) ≥ 1000 M_☉ yr⁻¹ Can outflows sustain the replenishment?

Outflows sustain the Lya nebula



Huge mass outflow rates $(M_{out} \approx SFR)$

From SED modelling, Hα fluxes, and ALMA 870 μm continuum: SFR ≈ 700 M_☉ yr⁻¹

From SED modelling and Xray luminosity (Cicone +2014): AGN ≈ 1400 M_☉ yr⁻¹

- Galaxy activity (star formation, AGN) rises with redshift
- Higher activity = stronger feedback > ubiquitous outflows
- Outflows extract energy from galaxies and inject it into the surrounding medium: necessary to explain X-ray properties of groups and clusters
- A 'mature' cluster at z = 1.99 is observed with XMM and Chandra: we see a 10⁷ K, X-ray emitting extended plasma
- Narrow-band imaging with Keck/LRIS reveals a 100-kpc extended Lyα nebula (10⁴ K) in the cluster core
- Hot gas heats up cold gas: a ≥1000 M_☉ yr⁻¹ replenishment is needed to see the nebula
- This cold gas comes from galaxies through outflows > There is enough from SF and AGN (from near-IR spectroscopy, SED modeling, ALMA maps)

Instantaneous energy injection:

$$\dot{E}_{\rm kin} = \frac{1}{2} \dot{M}_{\rm out} v^2 = (4.9 - 5.3) \times 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$$

≈ 75 - 85% from AGN (≈ 66% of the mass)
5× higher than L(X) > Offset cooling from X-ray

$$E_{\rm kin} = \int_{t(z\geq 1.99)} \dot{E}_{\rm kin} \, dt \quad \longrightarrow \quad \dot{E}_{\rm kin} = \beta \, {\rm SFR}$$

$$E_{\rm kin} = \int_{t(z \ge 1.99)} \beta \, {\rm SFR}(t) dt$$

$$\beta(z = 1.99) = 2.2 - 2.4 \times 10^{49} \text{ erg M}_{\odot}^{-1}$$

$$E_{\text{kin}} = \int_{t(z \ge 1.99)}^{\beta} \operatorname{SFR}(t) dt$$
$$= \frac{\beta}{1 - R} \int_{t(z \ge 1.99)}^{\beta} \operatorname{SFR}(t) (1 - R) dt$$

$$E_{\rm kin} = \int_{t(z \ge 1.99)} \beta \, {\rm SFR}(t) dt$$
$$= \frac{\beta}{1-R} M_{\star} \quad \begin{cases} M_{\star} = 2 \times 10^{12} \, {\rm M}_{\odot} \\ R = 0.4 & \text{(Mass return fraction, Bruzual & Charlot 2003)} \end{cases}$$



Suite of cosmological simulations **cosmo-OWLS** (Le Brun+2014): **NOCOOL model** Fiducial model with AGN feedback Our observations (adopting a baryon fraction $f_b = 0.15$)



Suite of cosmological simulations **cosmo-OWLS** (Le Brun+2014): **NOCOOL model Fiducial model with AGN feedback Our observations (adopting a baryon fraction f_b = 0.15)**

- Galaxy activity (star formation, AGN) rises with redshift
- Higher activity = stronger feedback > ubiquitous outflows
- Outflows extract energy from galaxies and inject it into the surrounding medium: necessary to explain X-ray properties of groups and clusters
- A 'mature' cluster at z = 1.99 is observed with XMM and Chandra: we see a 10⁷ K, X-ray emitting extended plasma
- Narrow-band imaging with Keck/LRIS reveals a 100-kpc extended Lyα nebula (10⁴ K) in the cluster core
- Hot gas heats up cold gas: a ≥1000 M_☉ yr⁻¹ replenishment is needed to see the nebula
- This cold gas comes from galaxies through outflows > There is enough from SF and AGN (from near-IR spectroscopy, SED modeling, ALMA maps)
- Outflows inject 2.5-2.7 keV particle⁻¹ in the intracluster medium, as predicted by cosmological simulations (cosmo-OWLS)

Lya nebulae in cores of X-ray clusters at high-redshift signpost significant energy injection into the intracluster medium

Summary

Discovery of a **100 kpc Lya nebula** in the core of an **X-ray cluster at** *z* = **1.99**

It needs constant gas replenishment to survive

Huge outflow activity in the core (SFR \approx 700 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹, AGN \approx 1400 M_{\odot} yr⁻¹) can supply the gas

Outflows inject 2.5-2.7 keV per particle in the hot ICM, as predicted by simulations

