#### Exploring the evolution of the stellar mass function in the redshift range z=1-3

Alice Mortlock Ross McLure Rebecca Bowler



#### Exploring the evolution of the stellar mass function (and K-band Juminosity function) in the redshift range z=1-3

Alice Mortlock Ross McLure Rebecca Bowler



Exploring the evolution of the stellar mass function (and K-band Juminosity function) in the redshift range z=0.5-3.5

> Alice Mortlock Ross McLure Rebecca Bowler



#### Motivation



High mass end: Fewer objects means larger errors Low mass end: Fainter objects are harder to observe

Santini et al. 2012

#### Motivation

#### Huge amount of work in the literature, but still disagreement on the form of the MF (and LF)



Often disagreements arise from:

Mortlock et al. 2015

- survey area
- depth
- fitting the form of the LF/MF
- differences in galaxy selection

# Constraining the bright end: we need large area

#### UltraVISTA



Deep strips

- Area ~0.4 deg<sup>2</sup>
- K(AB)=24.5 (5σ 2")
- Interstrip gaps
  - Area ~0.4 deg<sup>2</sup>
  - K(AB)=23.5 (5σ 2")
  - Deep 3.6 and 4.5µm
    - SPLASH
    - SEDs

CFHT/MegaCam Subaru/Suprime-Cam HST/ACS DR1 DR2

> Subaru/Suprime-Cam (z') Subaru/Suprime-Cam VISTA UKIRT/WFCAM

Bowler et al. 2013



UDS

Area ~0.7 deg<sup>2</sup> K(AB)=24.75 (5σ 2")

Provide a total of ~1.5 deg<sup>2</sup> of area.

#### The K-band selected MF in UVISTA



1

#### The i-band selected MF in UVISTA



### The K+i-band selected MF in UVISTA



#### The K+i-band selected LF in UVISTA



#### The K+i-band selected LF in UVISTA Comparison to simulations



#### The IRAC selected MF in UVISTA Motivation



#### Stefanon (2014) report a sample of 7 massive galaxies at z>4 selected in S-COSMOS over 1.5 deq<sup>2</sup>.

(see also eg. Caputi 2011, 2012)



IRAC 3.6µm (SEDS; Ashby 2013)



Model of IRAC 3.6µm (TPHOT; Emiliano Merlin)



Residual of IRAC 3.6µm (TPHOT; Emiliano Merlin)







# ...maybe some massive objects at higher redshift?



### Summary

- A combination of K+i band selected samples allows us to push further down the MF and LF using the DR2 UltraVISTA data set.
- The K-band LF looks steeper than previously thought.
- No evidence for the K-band LF being shallower than simulations predict.
- Our IRAC selected sample does not contribute strongly to the MF/LF at z<3.</li>